

A Short Proof of Euler’s Identity for Continuants

A. V. Ustinov

Received May 19, 2005

KEY WORDS: *continuant, Euler’s identity, continued fraction.*

By *continuants* we mean the polynomials $K_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ defined by the initial conditions

$$K_0() = 1, \quad K_1(x_1) = x_1$$

and the recurrence relation

$$K_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_n K_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) + K_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}), \quad n \geq 2$$

(it is also convenient to assume that $K_{-1} = 0$). They arise in the solution of problems related to continued fractions and Euclid’s algorithm. Continuants satisfy various relations; the most general among them is Euler’s identity

$$\begin{aligned} &K_{m+n}(x_1, \dots, x_{m+n})K_l(x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+l}) - K_{m+l}(x_1, \dots, x_{m+l})K_n(x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+n}) \\ &= (-1)^n K_{m-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1})K_{n-l-1}(x_{m+l+2}, \dots, x_{m+n}), \quad m \geq 1, \quad l \geq 0, \quad n \geq l + 1. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

It is usually verified by induction on two out of the three parameters l, m, n . The proof given in the present paper is based on the fact that the determinant of a skew-symmetric 4×4 matrix (just as of any matrix of even order) is the square of some polynomial (the Pfaffian of the matrix):

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b & c \\ -a & 0 & d & e \\ -b & -d & 0 & f \\ -c & -e & -f & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (af + cd - be)^2.$$

The arguments are given for the polynomials $k_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ defined by the initial conditions

$$k_0() = 1, \quad k_1(x_1) = x_1$$

and the recurrence relation

$$k_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_n k_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) - k_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}), \quad n \geq 2. \tag{2}$$

These polynomials occur as the numerators and denominators of a continued fraction of the form

$$x_1 - \frac{1}{x_2 - \frac{1}{\dots - \frac{1}{x_n}}}$$

and are related to the polynomials $K_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ by

$$k_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = i^{-n} K_n(ix_1, \dots, ix_n), \quad \text{where } i = \sqrt{-1}. \tag{3}$$

Theorem. *Suppose that $m \geq 1$, $l \geq 0$, and $n \geq l + 1$. Then*

$$k_{m+n}(x_1, \dots, x_{m+n})k_l(x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+l}) - k_{m+l}(x_1, \dots, x_{m+l})k_n(x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+n}) + k_{m-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1})k_{n-l-1}(x_{m+l+2}, \dots, x_{m+n}) = 0. \tag{4}$$

Proof. Consider the skew-symmetric matrix $A = (a_{u,v})_{u,v=1}^{m+n+2}$ whose elements, for $1 \leq u < v \leq m+n+2$, are the quantities

$$a_{u,v} = k_{v-u-1}(x_u, \dots, x_{v-2}).$$

For example, for $m = n = 1$, we have

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & x_1 & x_1x_2 - 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & x_2 \\ -x_1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 - x_1x_2 & -x_2 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows from the recurrence relations (2) that each column of the matrix A is the linear combination of the two previous ones (if such exist). Hence any minor of order 3 of the matrix A is either greater than zero or equal to zero. Considering one of the principal minors, we obtain

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{1,m+1} & a_{1,m+l+2} & a_{1,m+n+2} \\ -a_{1,m+1} & 0 & a_{m+1,m+l+2} & a_{m+1,m+n+2} \\ -a_{1,m+l+2} & -a_{m+1,m+l+2} & 0 & a_{m+l+2,m+n+2} \\ -a_{1,m+n+2} & -a_{m+1,m+n+2} & -a_{m+l+2,m+n+2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (a_{1,m+1}a_{m+l+2,m+n+2} + a_{1,m+n+2}a_{m+1,m+l+2} - a_{1,m+l+2}a_{m+1,m+n+2})^2 = 0,$$

which is equivalent to relation (4). The theorem is now proved. \square

Euler's identity (1) can be obtained from (4) by applying relation (3).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by INTAS under grant no. 03-51-5070.

M. V. LOMONOSOV MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY
E-mail: ustinov@mech.math.msu.su