

## Calculation of the variance in a problem in the theory of continued fractions

A. V. Ustinov

**Abstract.** We study the random variable  $N(\alpha, R) = \#\{j \geq 1 : Q_j(\alpha) \leq R\}$ , where  $\alpha \in [0; 1)$  and  $P_j(\alpha)/Q_j(\alpha)$  is the  $j$ th convergent of the continued fraction expansion of the number  $\alpha = [0; t_1, t_2, \dots]$ . For the mean value

$$N(R) = \int_0^1 N(\alpha, R) d\alpha$$

and variance

$$D(R) = \int_0^1 (N(\alpha, R) - N(R))^2 d\alpha$$

of the random variable  $N(\alpha, R)$ , we prove the asymptotic formulae with two significant terms

$$N(R) = N_1 \log R + N_0 + O(R^{-1+\varepsilon}), \quad D(R) = D_1 \log R + D_0 + O(R^{-1/3+\varepsilon}).$$

Bibliography: 13 titles.

### § 1. Notation

1. We write  $[x_0; x_1, \dots, x_s]$  to denote the continued fraction

$$x_0 + \frac{1}{x_1 + \frac{1}{\ddots + \frac{1}{x_s}}}$$

of length  $s$  with formal variables  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_s$ .

2. For a rational number  $r$ , the representation  $r = [t_0; t_1, \dots, t_s]$  is the canonical (unless additional stipulations are made) expansion of  $r$  into a continued fraction, where  $t_0 = [r]$  (the integer part of  $r$ ),  $t_1, \dots, t_s$  are positive integers, and  $t_s \geq 2$  for  $s \geq 1$ . In certain cases the same number  $r$  is written in the form  $r = [t_0; t_1, \dots, t_s - 1, 1]$ .

3. The notation  $K_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  (see [1]) is used for the continuants, which are defined by the initial conditions

$$K_0() = 1, \quad K_1(x_1) = x_1$$

---

This research was carried out with the support of the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant no. 07-01-00306), INTAS foundation (grant no. 03-51-5070), and the Far-East Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences (project no. 06-III-A-01-017).

*AMS 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11K50; Secondary 11A55.

and the recurrence relation

$$K_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_n K_{n-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) + K_{n-2}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}), \quad n \geq 2.$$

Here we always have the equality

$$[x_0; x_1, \dots, x_s] = \frac{K_{s+1}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_s)}{K_s(x_1, \dots, x_s)}.$$

The lower index, which is equal to the number of arguments of a continuant, will be omitted in what follows.

4. The sign “\*” in double sums of the form

$$\sum_n \sum_m^* \dots$$

means that the variables over which the summation is carried out are connected by the additional condition  $(m, n) = 1$ .

5. If  $A$  is some assertion, then  $[A]$  means 1 if  $A$  is true, and 0 otherwise.

6. For a positive integer  $q$  we denote by  $\delta_q(a)$  the characteristic function of divisibility by  $q$ :

$$\delta_q(a) = [a \equiv 0 \pmod{q}] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \equiv 0 \pmod{q}, \\ 0 & \text{if } a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}. \end{cases}$$

7. The dash in sums of the form

$$\sum_{b, m=1}^n \delta_n(bm \pm 1) \cdot \dots$$

means that for  $n = 1$  ‘minus’ is chosen of the two signs in the symbol  $\pm$ , and for  $n > 1$  both signs are taken independently.

8. Finite differences of functions of one and two variables are denoted as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta a(u) &= a(u + 1) - a(u), \\ \Delta_{1,0} a(u, v) &= a(u + 1, v) - a(u, v), \quad \Delta_{0,1} a(u, v) = a(u, v + 1) - a(u, v), \\ \Delta_{1,1} a(u, v) &= \Delta_{0,1}(\Delta_{1,0} a(u, v)) = \Delta_{1,0}(\Delta_{0,1} a(u, v)). \end{aligned}$$

9. The sum of powers of divisors is denoted as

$$\sigma_\alpha(q) = \sum_{d|q} d^\alpha.$$

### § 2. Introduction

We denote by  $s(a/b)$  the length of the continued fraction for a rational number  $a/b = [t_0; t_1, \dots, t_s]$ .

In 1968 Heilbronn [2] proved the asymptotic formula for the mean value of the quantity  $s(a/b)$

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(b)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq b \\ (a,b)=1}} s\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = \frac{2 \log 2}{\zeta(2)} \log b + O(\log^4 \log b).$$

Later Porter (see [3]) obtained for the same sum the asymptotic formula with two significant terms

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(b)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq b \\ (a,b)=1}} s\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = \frac{2 \log 2}{\zeta(2)} \log b + C_P - 1 + O(b^{-1/6+\epsilon}),$$

where

$$C_P = \frac{\log 2}{\zeta(2)} \left( 3 \log 2 + 4\gamma - 4 \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} - 2 \right) - \frac{1}{2}$$

is a constant, which became known as Porter’s constant (the final form of it was found by Wrench; see [4]).

For the variance of the quantity  $s(a/b)$  (for a fixed value of  $b$ ) only the following estimate is known, which is correct in order of magnitude and is due to Bykovskii [5]:

$$\frac{1}{b} \sum_{a=1}^b \left( s\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) - \frac{2 \log 2}{\zeta(2)} \log b \right)^2 \ll \log b.$$

More exact results are obtained for averaging over both parameters  $a$  and  $b$ . For example, for the mean value of the quantity  $s(a/b)$  the methods in [6], [7] yield the asymptotic formula

$$\frac{2}{R^2} \sum_{b \leq R} \sum_{a \leq b} s\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = \frac{2 \log 2}{\zeta(2)} \log b + B + O(b^{-1/2+\epsilon}),$$

where

$$B = \frac{2 \log 2}{\zeta(2)} \left( -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} \right) + C_P - \frac{3}{2}.$$

An asymptotic formula with two significant terms is also known for the variance (see [8]):

$$\frac{2}{R^2} \sum_{b \leq R} \sum_{a \leq b} \left( s\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) - \frac{2 \log 2}{\zeta(2)} \log b - B \right)^2 = \delta_1 \log R + \delta_0 + O(R^{-\gamma}), \tag{1}$$

where  $\delta_1$ ,  $\delta_0$ , and  $\gamma > 0$  are absolute constants.

In the case of an irrational number  $\alpha$ , as an analogue of the quantity  $s(\alpha)$  one can consider

$$N(\alpha, R) = \#\{j \geq 1 : Q_j(\alpha) \leq R\},$$

where  $Q_j(\alpha)$  is the denominator of the  $j$ th convergent of the continued fraction expansion of  $\alpha$ . In the present paper we verify an asymptotic formula with two significant terms for the mean value of  $N(\alpha, R)$

$$N(R) = \int_0^1 N(\alpha, R) d\alpha.$$

For the variance

$$D(R) = \int_0^1 (N(\alpha, R) - N(R))^2 d\alpha = \int_0^1 N^2(\alpha, R) d\alpha - N^2(R),$$

we prove the asymptotic formula

$$D(R) = D_1 \log R + D_0 + O(R^{-1/3} \log^5 R)$$

with absolute constants  $D_1, D_0$ .

The methods of the present paper also enable us to prove formula (1) with any  $\gamma > -1/4$ . The author plans to expound this result in a forthcoming paper.

The author is grateful to V. A. Bykovskii for posing the problem and for useful advice.

### § 3. On continued fractions

The following assertion is a modification of a well-known theorem (see [9], § 50, Theorem 1). This assertion is a basis for all the subsequent arguments.

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose that  $P$  is a non-negative integer,  $P', Q, Q'$  are positive integers, and  $Q \leq Q'$ . Suppose also that  $\alpha$  is a real number in the interval  $(0, 1)$ . Then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (I)  *$P/Q$  and  $P'/Q'$  are consecutive convergents of the continued fraction expansion of  $\alpha$  that are distinct from  $\alpha$ , and the convergent  $P/Q$  precedes  $P'/Q'$ ;*
- (II)  *$PQ' - P'Q = \pm 1$  and  $0 < \frac{Q'\alpha - P'}{-Q\alpha + P} < 1$ .*

See the proof of Lemma 1 in [6].

Following [5] we denote by  $\mathcal{M}$  the set of all integer-valued matrices

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} P & P' \\ Q & Q' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P(S) & P'(S) \\ Q(S) & Q'(S) \end{pmatrix}$$

with determinant  $\det S = \pm 1$  such that

$$1 \leq Q \leq Q', \quad 0 \leq P \leq Q, \quad 1 \leq P' \leq Q'.$$

For real  $R > 0$  we denote by  $\mathcal{M}(R)$  the finite subset of  $\mathcal{M}$  consisting of all the matrices  $S$  with the additional condition  $Q' \leq R$ .

As noted in [5], Lemma 1 implies the following properties of the set  $\mathcal{M}$ .

1°. The correspondence

$$(q_1, \dots, q_l) \rightarrow S = S(q_1, \dots, q_l) = \begin{pmatrix} P & P' \\ Q & Q' \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

where

$$\frac{P}{Q} = [0; q_1, \dots, q_{l-1}], \quad \frac{P'}{Q'} = [0; q_1, \dots, q_l],$$

defines a bijection of the set of all finite tuples of positive integers onto the set  $\mathcal{M}$ . In particular, it follows that the set  $\mathcal{M}$  is a semigroup with respect to multiplication.

2°. For real  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  the inequality

$$0 < \frac{Q'\alpha - P'}{-Q\alpha + P} = S^{-1}(\alpha) < 1, \quad S \in \mathcal{M},$$

holds if and only if for some  $j \geq 1$

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} P_j(\alpha) & P_{j+1}(\alpha) \\ Q_j(\alpha) & Q_{j+1}(\alpha) \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $j \leq s(r) - 2$  for rational  $\alpha = r$ .

3°. For every matrix  $S \in \mathcal{M}$  the inequality  $0 < S^{-1}(\alpha) < 1$  defines the interval

$$I(S) = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{P'}{Q'}, \frac{P + P'}{Q + Q'} \right) & \text{if } \det S = 1, \\ \left( \frac{P + P'}{Q + Q'}, \frac{P'}{Q'} \right) & \text{if } \det S = -1, \end{cases}$$

of length

$$|I(S)| = \frac{1}{Q'(Q + Q')}.$$

4°. Let  $q_1, \dots, q_l$  be positive integers and let  $S = S(q_1, \dots, q_l)$  in accordance with (2). Then a number  $\alpha$  belongs to the interval  $I(S)$  if and only if  $s(\alpha) > l$  and in the canonical expansion  $\alpha = [t_0; t_1, \dots, t_l, \dots]$

$$t_0 = 0, \quad t_1 = q_1, \dots, t_l = q_l.$$

5°. The intersection  $I(S) \cap I(S')$  is non-empty if and only if one of the intervals is contained in the other. Here, if  $I(S) \subsetneq I(S')$  and  $S' = S'(q_1, \dots, q_{l'})$ , then for some  $l > l'$  and positive integers  $q_{l'+1}, \dots, q_l$  we have the equality

$$S = S'S'',$$

where  $S'' = S''(q_{l'+1}, \dots, q_l)$  and  $S = S(q_1, \dots, q_l)$ .

6°. If  $Q' \geq 2$ ,  $1 \leq Q \leq Q'$ , and  $(Q, Q') = 1$ , then there are exactly two pairs

$$(P, P') \quad \text{and} \quad (Q - P, Q' - P')$$

that can be the first row complementing the second row  $(Q, Q')$  with respect to a matrix in  $\mathcal{M}$ . In addition, if

$$\frac{Q}{Q'} = [0; q_s, \dots, q_1] = [0; q_s, \dots, q_1 - 1, 1], \quad q_1 \geq 2,$$

then the corresponding matrices have the form

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & q_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & q_s \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} K(q_2, \dots, q_{s-1}) & K(q_2, \dots, q_s) \\ K(q_1, \dots, q_{s-1}) & K(q_1, \dots, q_s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P & P' \\ Q & Q' \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & q_1 - 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & q_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & q_s \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} K(q_1 - 1, q_2, \dots, q_{s-1}) & K(q_1 - 1, q_2, \dots, q_s) \\ K(1, q_1 - 1, q_2, \dots, q_{s-1}) & K(1, q_1 - 1, q_2, \dots, q_s) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} Q - P & Q' - P' \\ Q & Q' \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $Q = Q' = 1$  there exists only one matrix  $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  that belongs to the set  $\mathcal{M}$ .

### § 4. Auxiliary assertions

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $R \geq 2$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq R} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^2} &= \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \left( \log R + \gamma - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} \right) + O\left(\frac{\log R}{R}\right), \tag{3} \\ \sum_{n \leq R} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^2} \log n &= \frac{1}{2\zeta(2)} \log^2 R + C_0 + O\left(\frac{\log^2 R}{R}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_0 = \gamma \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta^2(2)} + \gamma_1 \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} - \frac{2(\zeta'(2))^2 - \zeta''(2)\zeta(2)}{2\zeta^3(2)}$$

and  $\gamma_1$  is the Stieltjes constant (see [10], part 2.21), which is defined by the equality

$$\sum_{n \leq T} \frac{\log n}{n} = \frac{\log^2 T}{2} + \gamma_1 + O\left(\frac{\log T}{T}\right), \quad T \geq 2. \tag{4}$$

*Proof.* To prove equality (3) we express  $\varphi(q)$  using the Möbius function:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq R} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^2} &= \sum_{n \leq R} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} = \sum_{d \leq R} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^2} \sum_{n \leq R/d} \frac{1}{n} \\ &= \sum_{d \leq R} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^2} \left( \log R - \log d + \gamma + O\left(\frac{d}{R}\right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{d \leq R} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^2} = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} + O\left(\frac{1}{R}\right), \quad \sum_{d \leq R} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^2} \log d = \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta^2(2)} + O\left(\frac{\log R}{R}\right), \tag{5}$$

we have

$$\sum_{n \leq R} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^2} = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \left( \log R + \gamma - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} \right) + O\left(\frac{\log R}{R}\right).$$

We transform the second sum by the same method:

$$\sum_{n \leq R} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^2} \log n = \sum_{n \leq R} \frac{\log n}{n} \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} = \sum_{d \leq R} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^2} \sum_{n \leq R/d} \frac{1}{n} (\log n + \log d).$$

Using equality (4) we find

$$\sum_{n \leq R} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^2} \log n = \sum_{d \leq R} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^2} \left( \frac{\log^2 R}{2} - \frac{\log^2 d}{2} + \gamma_1 + \gamma \log d \right) + O\left(\frac{\log^2 R}{R}\right).$$

The second formula of the lemma now follows from (5) and the equality

$$\sum_{d \leq R} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^2} \log^2 d = \frac{2(\zeta'(2))^2 - \zeta''(2)\zeta(2)}{\zeta^3(2)} + O\left(\frac{\log^2 R}{R}\right).$$

**Lemma 3.** For  $R \geq 2$  the sum

$$\Phi^*(R) = \sum_{Q' \leq R} \sum_{Q \leq Q'}^* \frac{1}{Q'(Q + Q')} \tag{6}$$

satisfies the asymptotic formula

$$\Phi^*(R) = \frac{\log 2}{\zeta(2)} \left( \log R + \log 2 + \gamma - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} \right) - \frac{1}{2} + O\left(\frac{\log R}{R}\right).$$

*Proof.* First we find an asymptotic formula for the sum

$$\Phi(R) = \sum_{Q' \leq R} \sum_{Q \leq Q'} \frac{1}{Q'(Q + Q')},$$

in which the summation variables  $Q$  and  $Q'$  are not connected by the coprimeness condition. We express  $\Phi(R)$  in the form

$$\Phi(R) = \log 2 \sum_{Q' \leq R} \frac{1}{Q'} + \sigma_0 + O\left(\frac{1}{R}\right),$$

where

$$\sigma_0 = \sum_{Q'=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{Q'} \left( \sum_{Q=1}^{Q'} \frac{1}{Q + Q'} - \log 2 \right). \tag{7}$$

The sum  $\sigma_0$  is known (see [4]) to have the exact value

$$\sigma_0 = \log^2 2 - \frac{\zeta(2)}{2}; \tag{8}$$

therefore,

$$\Phi(R) = \log 2 (\log R + \log 2 + \gamma) - \frac{\zeta(2)}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{R}\right).$$

Next, applying the formulae

$$\Phi^*(R) = \sum_{\delta \leq R} \frac{\mu(\delta)}{\delta^2} \Phi\left(\frac{R}{\delta}\right), \quad \sum_{\delta=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(\delta)}{\delta^2} \log \delta = \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta^2(2)}$$

we arrive at the assertion of the lemma.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $q$  be a positive integer, and  $a(n)$  a function defined for integer  $n$  satisfying  $1 \leq n \leq q$ . Suppose also that this function satisfies the inequalities*

$$a(n) \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq n \leq q, \quad \Delta a(n) \leq 0, \quad 1 \leq n \leq q - 1.$$

Then

$$\sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ (n,q)=1}}^q a(n) = \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \sum_{n=1}^q a(n) + O(A\sigma_0(q)),$$

where  $A = a(1)$  is the greatest value of the function  $a(n)$ .

*Proof.* We apply the Abel transformation to this sum:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ (n,q)=1}}^q a(n) &= \sum_{n=1}^q a(n)[(n, q) = 1] \\ &= \varphi(q)a(q) - \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} (a(k+1) - a(k)) \sum_{n=1}^k [(n, q) = 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Next, using the equality

$$\sum_{n=1}^k [(n, q) = 1] = \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} k + O(\sigma_0(q))$$

(see [11], Ch. II, Problem 19) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ (n,q)=1}}^q a(n) &= \varphi(q)a(q) - \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} (a(k+1) - a(k))k + O(A\sigma_0(q)) \\ &= \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \sum_{n=1}^q a(n) + O(A\sigma_0(q)). \end{aligned}$$

The following assertion, which was proved in special cases in [12], is based on the estimates of Kloosterman’s sums that belong to Estermann [13].

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $q \geq 1$  be a positive integer, and  $a(u, v)$  a function defined at integer points  $(u, v)$ , where  $1 \leq u, v \leq q$ . Suppose also that this function satisfies the inequalities*

$$a(u, v) \geq 0, \quad \Delta_{1,0}a(u, v) \leq 0, \quad \Delta_{0,1}a(u, v) \leq 0, \quad \Delta_{1,1}a(u, v) \geq 0$$

at all the points, where these conditions are defined. Then the sum

$$W = \sum_{u,v=1}^q \delta_q(uv \pm 1)a(u, v)$$

(for any choice of sign in the symbol  $\pm$ ) satisfies the asymptotic formula

$$W = \frac{\varphi(q)}{q^2} \sum_{u,v=1}^q a(u, v) + O(A\psi(q)\sqrt{q}),$$

where  $\psi(q) = \sigma_0(q)\sigma_{-1/2}(q) \log^2(q + 1)$  and  $A = a(1, 1)$  is the greatest value of the function  $a(u, v)$ .

See the proof of Lemma 5 in [6].

### § 5. On the quantities $N(R)$ and $D(R)$

**Lemma 6.** For  $R \geq 1$  the quantity

$$N(R) = \int_0^1 N(\alpha, R) d\alpha$$

can be represented in the form

$$N(R) = 2\Phi^*(R) - \frac{1}{2}, \tag{9}$$

where the function  $\Phi^*(R)$  is defined by the series (6).

In addition,  $N(R)$  satisfies the asymptotic formula

$$N(R) = \frac{2 \log 2}{\zeta(2)} \log R + \frac{2 \log 2}{\zeta(2)} \left( \log 2 + \gamma - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} \right) - \frac{3}{2} + O\left(\frac{\log(R + 1)}{R}\right). \tag{10}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 1, for irrational  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  the quantity  $N(\alpha, R)$  coincides with the number of solutions of the system

$$\begin{cases} PQ' - P'Q = \pm 1, \\ 0 < S^{-1}(\alpha) < 1 \end{cases}$$

with respect to the unknowns  $P, P', Q,$  and  $Q'$  that are connected by the inequalities

$$1 \leq Q \leq Q' \leq R, \quad 0 \leq P \leq Q, \quad 1 \leq P' \leq Q'.$$

Hence,

$$N(\alpha, R) = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{M}(R)} [0 < S^{-1}(\alpha) < 1] = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{M}(R)} \chi_{I(S)}(\alpha), \tag{11}$$

$$N(R) = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{M}(R)} \int_0^1 \chi_{I(S)}(\alpha) d\alpha = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{M}(R)} \frac{1}{Q'(Q + Q')}, \tag{12}$$

where  $\chi_{I(S)}(\alpha)$  is the characteristic function of the interval  $I(S)$ .

Suppose that  $Q' \geq 2, 1 \leq Q < Q',$  and  $(Q, Q') = 1.$  Then by property 6° of the set  $\mathcal{M}$  the fraction  $1/(Q'(Q + Q'))$  appears in the sum (12) exactly two times. For the pair  $(Q', Q) = (1, 1)$  the corresponding fraction appears once. Consequently, equality (9) holds. Applying Lemma 3 to (9) we arrive at the asymptotic formula for  $N(R).$

**Lemma 7.** For  $R \geq 1$  the quantity

$$D(R) = \int_0^1 (N(\alpha, R) - N(R))^2 d\alpha = \int_0^1 N^2(\alpha, R) d\alpha - N^2(R)$$

satisfies the representation

$$D(R) = 4\sigma(R) - N^2(R) + \frac{1}{2}, \tag{13}$$

where

$$\sigma(R) = \sum_{Q' \leq R} \sum_{Q \leq Q'}^* \sum_{\begin{pmatrix} a & m \\ b & n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{[mQ + nQ' \leq R]}{(mQ + nQ')((a + m)Q + (b + n)Q')}.$$

*Proof.* By formulae (11) and (12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 N^2(\alpha, R) d\alpha &= \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{S \in \mathcal{M}(R)} \chi_{I(S)}(\alpha) \right)^2 d\alpha \\ &= \sum_{S \in \mathcal{M}(R)} |I(S)| + 2 \sum_{\substack{S, S' \in \mathcal{M}(R) \\ I(S) \not\subseteq I(S')}} |I(S)| = N(R) + 2 \sum_{\substack{S, S' \in \mathcal{M}(R) \\ I(S) \not\subseteq I(S')}} |I(S)|. \end{aligned}$$

Using property 5° we express the matrices  $S$  and  $S'$  in the form

$$S' = \begin{pmatrix} P & P' \\ Q & Q' \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} P & P' \\ Q & Q' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & m \\ b & n \end{pmatrix},$$

where the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} a & m \\ b & n \end{pmatrix}$  also belongs to the set  $\mathcal{M}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 N^2(\alpha, R) d\alpha &= N(R) \\ &+ 2 \sum_{\begin{pmatrix} P & P' \\ Q & Q' \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}} \sum_{\begin{pmatrix} a & m \\ b & n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{[mQ + nQ' \leq R]}{(mQ + nQ')((a + m)Q + (b + n)Q')}. \end{aligned}$$

Considering separately the case  $Q = Q' = 1$  and using property 6° we find

$$\int_0^1 N^2(\alpha, R) d\alpha = N(R) + 4\sigma(R) - 2 \sum_{\begin{pmatrix} a & m \\ b & n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{[m + n \leq R]}{(m + n)(a + b + m + n)}.$$

The equality

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & m \\ b & n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b & n \\ a + b & m + n \end{pmatrix}$$

and property 6° imply that each pair of numbers  $(q, q')$  such that  $1 \leq q < q'$  and  $(q, q') = 1$  is the second row of the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} b & n \\ a + b & m + n \end{pmatrix}$  for exactly one matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} a & m \\ b & n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Therefore, in view of equality (9), we have

$$2 \sum_{\binom{a \ m}{b \ n} \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{[m+n \leq R]}{(m+n)(a+b+m+n)} = 2 \left( \Phi^*(R) - \frac{1}{2} \right) = N(R) - \frac{1}{2},$$

$$\int_0^1 N^2(\alpha, R) d\alpha = 4\sigma(R) + \frac{1}{2}, \tag{14}$$

which proves Lemma 7.

*Remark 1.* The sum  $\sigma(R)$  has another representation:

$$\sigma(R) = \sum_{2 \leq Q' \leq R} \sum_{Q \leq Q'}^* \frac{s(Q/Q')}{Q'(Q+Q')}. \tag{15}$$

Indeed, if  $S = S(q_1, \dots, q_n)$ , then a matrix  $S' \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $I(S) \subsetneq I(S')$  can be chosen in  $n - 1$  ways. Therefore,

$$\int_0^1 N^2(\alpha, R) d\alpha = N(R) + 2 \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{M} \\ Q' \geq 2}} \frac{n-1}{Q'(Q+Q')}.$$

By property 5° of the set  $\mathcal{M}$ , for fixed  $Q$  and  $Q'$  such that  $1 \leq Q < Q'$  and  $(Q, Q') = 1$ , the parameter  $n$  can take two values:  $s(Q/Q')$  and  $s(Q/Q') + 1$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 N^2(\alpha, R) d\alpha &= N(R) + 2 \sum_{2 \leq Q' \leq R} \sum_{Q \leq Q'}^* \frac{2s(Q/Q') - 1}{Q'(Q+Q')} \\ &= 4 \sum_{2 \leq Q' \leq R} \sum_{Q \leq Q'}^* \frac{s(Q/Q')}{Q'(Q+Q')} + \frac{1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

which, in view of equality (14), proves formula (15).

To find  $D(R)$  we introduce a parameter  $U$  satisfying  $2 \leq U \leq R$ . We represent the sum  $\sigma(R)$  in the form

$$\sigma(R) = \sigma_1 - \sigma_2 + \sigma_3,$$

where

$$\sigma_1 = \sum_{Q' \leq R} \sum_{\binom{a \ m}{b \ n} \in \mathcal{M}(U)} \sum_{Q \leq Q'}^* \frac{1}{(mQ+nQ')((a+m)Q+(b+n)Q')}, \tag{16}$$

$$\sigma_2 = \sum_{\binom{a \ m}{b \ n} \in \mathcal{M}(U)} \sum_{Q' \leq R} \sum_{Q \leq Q'}^* \frac{[mQ+nQ' > R]}{(mQ+nQ')((a+m)Q+(b+n)Q')}, \tag{17}$$

$$\sigma_3 = \sum_{Q' \leq R} \sum_{Q \leq Q'}^* \sum_{\substack{\binom{a \ m}{b \ n} \in \mathcal{M}, \\ n > U}} \frac{[mQ+nQ' \leq R]}{(mQ+nQ')((a+m)Q+(b+n)Q')}. \tag{18}$$

We analyse separately each of the quantities  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2,$  and  $\sigma_3$ .

§ 6. Calculating the sum  $\sigma_1$

For a matrix  $S = \begin{pmatrix} a & m \\ b & n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}$ , we denote by  $f_S(\xi)$  the function

$$f_S(\xi) = \frac{1}{(m\xi + n)((a + m)\xi + (b + n))},$$

and by  $J_1(a, b, m, n)$  the integral

$$J_1(a, b, m, n) = \int_0^1 f_S(\xi) d\xi.$$

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer. Then the sum*

$$w_1(n) = \sum'_{b,m=1}^n \delta_n(bm \pm 1) J_1(a, b, m, n)$$

(henceforth,  $a = (bm \pm 1)/n$ ) satisfies the asymptotic formula

$$w_1(n) = 2 \log^2 2 \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^2} + O\left(\frac{\psi(n)}{n^{3/2}}\right),$$

where  $\psi(n)$  is the function defined in the hypothesis of Lemma 5.

*Proof.* The assertion of the lemma is obvious for  $n = 1$ . Therefore we assume that  $n \geq 2$ . Since

$$\frac{1}{\xi((bm \pm 1)/n + m) + (b + n)} - \frac{1}{\xi(bm/n + m) + (b + n)} = O\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right), \tag{19}$$

the sum  $w_1(n)$  has a simpler representation:

$$w_1(n) = \sum_{b,m=1}^n \delta_n(bm \pm 1) \int_0^1 \frac{d\xi}{(b/n + 1)(m\xi + n)^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right).$$

By Lemma 5,

$$w_1(n) = 2 \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^2} \sum_{b,m=1}^n \frac{1}{b + n} \int_0^1 \frac{n d\xi}{(m\xi + n)^2} + O\left(\frac{\psi(n)}{n^{3/2}}\right).$$

Substituting into the last equality the asymptotic formulae

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{b=1}^n \frac{1}{b + n} &= \log 2 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \\ \sum_{m=1}^n \int_0^1 \frac{n d\xi}{(m\xi + n)^2} &= \log 2 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

we arrive at the assertion of the lemma.

**Corollary 1.** For any real  $U \geq 2$  the sum

$$W_1(U) = \sum_{\binom{a \ m}{b \ n} \in \mathcal{M}(U)} J_1(a, b, m, n) \tag{21}$$

satisfies the asymptotic formula

$$W_1(U) = \frac{2 \log^2 2}{\zeta(2)} \left( \log U + \gamma - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} \right) + C_1 + O\left(\frac{\log^5 U}{U^{1/2}}\right), \tag{22}$$

where

$$C_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum'_{b,m=1}^n \delta_n(bm \pm 1) J_1(a, b, m, n) - 2 \log^2 2 \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^2} \right). \tag{23}$$

*Proof.* We express the sum  $W_1(U)$  in the form

$$W_1(U) = \sum_{n \leq U} \sum'_{b,m=1}^n \delta_n(bm \pm 1) J_1(a, b, m, n).$$

By Lemma 8,

$$\begin{aligned} W_1(U) &= \sum_{n \leq U} \left( \sum'_{b,m=1}^n \delta_n(bm \pm 1) J_1(a, b, m, n) - 2 \log^2 2 \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^2} \right) + 2 \log^2 2 \sum_{n \leq U} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^2} \\ &= 2 \log^2 2 \sum_{n \leq U} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^2} + C_1 + O\left(\frac{\log^5 U}{U^{1/2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting formula (3) into the last equality we arrive at the assertion of the corollary.

*Remark 2.* One can verify in similar fashion the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \sum'_{b,m=1}^n \delta_n(bm \pm 1) \frac{1}{(m+n)(a+b+m+n)} &= \log 2 \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^2} + O\left(\frac{\psi(n)}{n^{3/2}}\right), \\ \sum'_{b,m=1}^n \delta_n(bm \pm 1) f'_S(\xi) &= -\frac{2 \log 2}{(\xi+1)^2} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^2} + O\left(\frac{\psi(n)}{n^{3/2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

For the sums

$$A(U) = \sum_{\binom{a \ m}{b \ n} \in \mathcal{M}(U)} \frac{1}{(m+n)(a+b+m+n)}, \quad B(U, \xi) = \sum_{\binom{a \ m}{b \ n} \in \mathcal{M}(U)} f'_S(\xi),$$

this yields the asymptotic formulae

$$A(U) = \frac{\log 2}{\zeta(2)} \left( \log U + \gamma - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} \right) + C_2 + O\left(\frac{\log^5 R}{U^{1/2}}\right), \tag{24}$$

$$B(U, \xi) = -\frac{2 \log 2}{\zeta(2)(\xi+1)^2} \left( \log U + \gamma - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} \right) + C_3(\xi) + O\left(\frac{\log^5 R}{U^{1/2}}\right), \tag{25}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum'_{b,m=1}^n \frac{\delta_n(bm \pm 1)}{(m+n)(a+b+m+n)} - \log 2 \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^2} \right), \\
 C_3(\xi) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum'_{b,m=1}^n \delta_n(bm \pm 1) f'_S(\xi) + \frac{2 \log 2}{(\xi+1)^2} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^2} \right). \tag{26}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $\rho(x) = 1/2 - \{x\}$  and*

$$h(x) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(qx)}{q^2}. \tag{27}$$

Then

$$\int_0^1 \frac{h(x)}{(x+1)^2} dx = \log^2 2 - \frac{\zeta(2)}{4}.$$

*Proof.* The assertion of the lemma follows from the definition of the function  $\rho(x)$  and formula (8):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^1 \frac{h(x)}{(x+1)^2} dx &= \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{a=0}^{q-1} \int_{a/q}^{(a+1)/q} \left( \frac{1}{2} + a - qx \right) \frac{dx}{(x+1)^2} \\
 &= \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q} \left( \frac{1}{q+1} + \dots + \frac{1}{2q} - \log 2 + \frac{1}{4q} \right) \\
 &= \sigma_0 + \frac{\zeta(2)}{4} = \log^2 2 - \frac{\zeta(2)}{4}.
 \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $2 \leq U \leq R$ . Then the sum*

$$\sigma_1 = \sum_{Q' \leq R} \sum_{\binom{a}{b} \binom{m}{n} \in \mathcal{M}(U)} \sum_{Q \leq Q'}^* \frac{1}{(mQ + nQ')((a+m)Q + (b+n)Q')}$$

satisfies the asymptotic formula

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma_1 &= \frac{2 \log^2 2}{\zeta^2(2)} \log R \log U + \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \left( \frac{2 \log^2 2}{\zeta(2)} \left( \gamma - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} \right) + C_1 \right) \log R \\
 &\quad + \frac{2 \log^2 2}{\zeta^2(2)} \left( \gamma - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} + \log 2 - \frac{\zeta(2)}{2 \log 2} \right) \log U + C'_1 + O\left( \frac{\log^6 R}{U^{1/2}} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the constant  $C_1$  is defined by the series (23) and

$$\begin{aligned}
 C'_1 &= \left( \gamma - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} \right) \left( \frac{2 \log^2 2}{\zeta^2(2)} \left( \gamma - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} + \log 2 - \frac{\zeta(2)}{2 \log 2} \right) + \frac{C_1}{\zeta(2)} \right) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{\zeta^2(2)} \int_0^1 h(\xi) C_3(\xi) d\xi + \frac{C_2}{2} + \frac{3}{4} - \frac{\log^2 2}{\zeta(2)}. \tag{28}
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The summation formula

$$\sum_{0 < x \leq q} g(x) = \int_0^q g(x) dx + \frac{1}{2}(g(q) - g(0)) - \int_0^q \rho(x)g'(x) dx$$

applied to the function

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{(mx + nq)((a + m)x + (b + n)q)} = \frac{1}{q^2} f_S\left(\frac{x}{q}\right),$$

results in the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x=1}^q g(x) &= \frac{1}{q} J_1(a, b, m, n) + \frac{1}{2q^2} \left( \frac{1}{(m + n)(a + b + m + n)} - \frac{1}{n(m + n)} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{q^2} \int_0^1 \rho(q\xi) f'_S(\xi) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\binom{a \ m}{b \ n} \in \mathcal{M}(U)} \sum_{x=1}^q \frac{1}{(mx + nq)((a + m)x + (b + n)q)} \\ = \frac{1}{q} W_1(U) + \frac{1}{2q^2} (A(U) - N(U)) - \frac{1}{q^2} \int_0^1 \rho(q\xi) B(U, \xi) d\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

We apply this formula for calculating  $\sigma_1$ . For that we preliminarily transform the sum  $\sigma_1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= \sum_{Q' \leq R} \sum_{\binom{a \ m}{b \ n} \in \mathcal{M}(U)} \sum_{Q'=1}^{Q'} \frac{1}{(mQ + nQ')((a + m)Q + (b + n)Q')} \sum_{\delta | (Q, Q')} \mu(\delta) \\ &= \sum_{Q' \leq R} \sum_{\delta | Q'} \frac{\mu(\delta)}{\delta^2} \sum_{\binom{a \ m}{b \ n} \in \mathcal{M}(U)} \sum_{x=1}^{Q'/\delta} \frac{1}{(mx + nQ'/\delta)((a + m)x + (b + n)Q'/\delta)}. \end{aligned}$$

By formula (29) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= \sum_{Q' \leq R} \sum_{\delta | Q'} \frac{\mu(\delta)}{\delta^2} \left( \frac{\delta}{Q'} W_1(U) + \frac{\delta^2}{2(Q')^2} (A(U) - N(U)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\delta^2}{(Q')^2} \int_0^1 \rho\left(\frac{Q'\xi}{\delta}\right) B(U, \xi) d\xi \right) \\ &= W_1(U) \sum_{Q' \leq R} \frac{\varphi(Q')}{(Q')^2} + \frac{1}{2} (A(U) - N(U)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \int_0^1 h(\xi) B(U, \xi) d\xi + O\left(\frac{\log^2 R}{R}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where the function  $h(x)$  is defined by equality (27).

Substituting the asymptotic formulae (22), (24), (10), (25) for the quantities involved in the last equality and applying Lemma 9 we obtain the assertion of Theorem 1.

§ 7. Calculating the sum  $\sigma_2$

For a matrix  $S = \begin{pmatrix} a & m \\ b & n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}$  we denote by  $J_2(a, b, m, n)$  the integral

$$J_2(a, b, m, n) = \int_0^1 \frac{\log(m\xi + n)d\xi}{(m\xi + n)((a + m)\xi + (b + n))}.$$

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer. Then the sum*

$$w_2(n) = \sum'_{b,m=1}^n \delta_n(bm \pm 1) J_2\left(\frac{bm \pm 1}{n}, b, m, n\right)$$

satisfies the asymptotic formula

$$w_2(n) = 2 \log^2 2 \frac{\varphi(n) \log n}{n^2} + \log^2 2 \left(2 + \log 2 - \frac{\zeta(2)}{\log 2}\right) \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^2} + O\left(\frac{\psi(n) \log(n + 1)}{n^{3/2}}\right),$$

where  $\psi(n)$  is the function defined in the hypothesis of Lemma 5.

*Proof.* The assertion of the lemma is obvious for  $n = 1$ . Therefore we assume that  $n \geq 2$ . It follows from equality (19) that

$$w_2(n) = \int_0^1 d\xi \sum_{b,m=1}^n \delta_n(bm \pm 1) \frac{\log(m\xi + n)}{(1 + b/n)(m\xi + n)^2} + O\left(\frac{\log(n + 1)}{n^3}\right).$$

Applying Lemma 5 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w_2(n) &= 2 \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^2} \int_0^1 d\xi \sum_{b=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + b/n} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{\log(m\xi + n)}{(m\xi + n)^2} + O\left(\frac{\psi(n) \log(n + 1)}{n^{3/2}}\right) \\ &= 2 \log 2 \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} \int_0^1 d\xi \int_0^n dm \frac{\log(m\xi + n)}{(m\xi + n)^2} + O\left(\frac{\psi(n) \log(n + 1)}{n^{3/2}}\right) \\ &= 2 \log 2 \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^2} \int_0^1 d\xi \int_0^1 dz \frac{\log n + \log(z\xi + 1)}{(z\xi + 1)^2} + O\left(\frac{\psi(n) \log(n + 1)}{n^{3/2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof it remains to use the equalities

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{d\xi dz}{(z\xi + 1)^2} = \log 2, \quad \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{\log(z\xi + 1) d\xi dz}{(z\xi + 1)^2} = \frac{\log 2}{2} \left(2 + \log 2 - \frac{\zeta(2)}{\log 2}\right).$$

Similarly to Corollary 1, the following assertion is a consequence of Lemmas 2 and 10.

**Corollary 2.** For any real  $U \geq 2$  the sum

$$W_2(U) = \sum_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & m \\ b & n \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathcal{M}(U)} J_2(a, b, m, n)$$

satisfies the asymptotic formula

$$W_2(U) = \frac{\log^2 2}{\zeta(2)} \log^2 U + \frac{\log^2 2}{\zeta(2)} \left(2 + \log 2 - \frac{\zeta(2)}{\log 2}\right) \log U + C_4 + O\left(\frac{\log^6 U}{U^{1/2}}\right),$$

where

$$C_4 = \frac{\log^2 2}{\zeta(2)} \left(2 + \log 2 - \frac{\zeta(2)}{\log 2}\right) \left(\gamma - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right) + 2 \log^2 2 C_0 + C'_4, \tag{30}$$

$C_0$  is the constant in Lemma 2, and  $C'_4$  is the sum of the series

$$C'_4 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( w_2(n) - 2 \log^2 2 \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^2} \left( \log n + 2 + \log 2 - \frac{\zeta(2)}{\log 2} \right) \right).$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $2 \leq U \leq R$ . Then the sum  $\sigma_2$  defined by equality (17) satisfies the asymptotic formula

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2 = & \frac{\log^2 2}{\zeta^2(2)} \log^2 U + \frac{\log^2 2}{\zeta^2(2)} \left(2 + \log 2 - \frac{\zeta(2)}{\log 2}\right) \log U + \frac{C_4}{\zeta(2)} \\ & + O\left(\frac{\log^6 R}{U^{1/2}}\right) + O\left(\frac{U \log R}{R}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_4$  is the constant in Corollary 2.

*Proof.* Applying Lemma 4 to the inner sum over the variable  $Q$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2 = & \sum_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & m \\ b & n \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathcal{M}(U)} \sum_{Q' \leq R} \sum_{Q \leq Q'}^* \frac{[mQ + nQ' > R]}{(mQ + nQ')((a+m)Q + (b+n)Q')} \\ = & \sum_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & m \\ b & n \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathcal{M}(U)} \sum_{Q' \leq R} \frac{\varphi(Q')}{Q'} \sum_{Q \leq Q'} \frac{[mQ + nQ' > R]}{(mQ + nQ')((a+m)Q + (b+n)Q')} \\ & + O\left(\frac{U \log R}{R}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing the sum over the variable  $Q$  by the integral and performing the change of variables  $Q = \xi Q'$  we obtain

$$\sigma_2 = \sum_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & m \\ b & n \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathcal{M}(U)} \sum_{Q' \leq R} \frac{\varphi(Q')}{(Q')^2} \int_0^1 \frac{[m\xi + n > R/Q'] d\xi}{(m\xi + n)((a+m)\xi + b+n)} + O\left(\frac{U \log R}{R}\right).$$

Next, since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{Q' \leq R} \frac{\varphi(Q')}{(Q')^2} \left[ m\xi + n > \frac{R'}{Q} \right] &= \sum_{\delta \leq R} \frac{\mu(\delta)}{\delta^2} \sum_{Q' \leq R/\delta} \frac{[m\xi + n > R/(\delta Q')]}{Q'} \\ &= \sum_{\delta \leq R} \frac{\mu(\delta)}{\delta^2} \left( \log(m\xi + n) + O\left(\frac{n\delta}{R}\right) \right) = \frac{\log(m\xi + n)}{\zeta(2)} + O\left(\frac{n \log R}{R}\right), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \sum_{\begin{pmatrix} a & m \\ b & n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}(U)} \int_0^1 \frac{\log(m\xi + n) d\xi}{(m\xi + n)((a + m)\xi + (b + n))} + O\left(\frac{U \log R}{R}\right).$$

Applying Corollary 2 we arrive at the assertion of Theorem 2.

### § 8. Calculating the sum $\sigma_3$

**Lemma 11.** For  $N \geq 2$  the sum

$$F^*(N) = \sum_{n < N} \sum_{m \leq n}^* \frac{1}{m} \left( \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m+n} \right) - \sum_{n < N} \sum_{\substack{m \leq n \\ m+n > N}}^* \frac{1}{m} \left( \frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{m+n} \right)$$

satisfies the asymptotic formula

$$F^*(N) = \frac{\log 2}{\zeta(2)} (\log N + H) + O\left(\frac{\log^2 N}{N}\right), \tag{31}$$

where

$$H = \gamma - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} + \frac{\log 2}{2} - 1. \tag{32}$$

*Proof.* The substitution of  $x = 1$  into Lemma 10 in [6] results in equality (31) with the constant

$$H = \gamma - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} - \frac{\log 2}{2} - 1 + \frac{1}{\log 2} \left( \sigma_0 + \frac{\zeta(2)}{2} \right),$$

where  $\sigma_0$  is defined by the series (7). Substituting the value of  $\sigma_0$  in (8) into the last formula we arrive at the assertion of Lemma 11.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $2 \leq U \leq R$ . Then the sum  $\sigma_3$  given by equality (18) satisfies the asymptotic formula

$$\sigma_3 = \frac{\log^2 2}{\zeta^2(2)} \log \frac{R}{U} \left( \log \frac{R}{U} + 2H \right) + O\left(\frac{\log^6 R}{U^{1/2}}\right),$$

where the constant  $H$  is given by equality (32).

*Proof.* Since for any matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} a & m \\ b & n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}$  we have

$$\frac{1}{(a + m)Q + (b + n)Q'} - \frac{1}{(bm/n + m)Q + (b + n)Q'} \ll \frac{1}{n^3 Q'}$$

and

$$\sum_{Q' \leq R} \sum_{Q \leq Q'}^* \sum_{\substack{\binom{a}{b} \binom{m}{n} \in \mathcal{M}, \\ n > U}} \frac{1}{n^4(Q')^2} \ll \frac{\log R}{U^2},$$

the sum  $\sigma_3$  can be rewritten in the form

$$\sigma_3 = \sum_{Q' \leq R} \sum_{Q \leq Q'}^* \sum_{n > U} \sum_{b, m=1}^n \delta_n(bm \pm 1) \frac{[mQ + nQ' \leq R]}{(b/n + 1)(mQ + nQ')^2} + O\left(\frac{\log R}{U^2}\right).$$

Applying Lemma 5 we obtain

$$\sigma_3 = 2 \sum_{Q' \leq R} \sum_{Q \leq Q'}^* \sum_{n > U} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} \sum_{b=1}^n \frac{1}{b+n} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{[mQ + nQ' \leq R]}{(mQ + nQ')^2} + O\left(\frac{\log^6 R}{U^{1/2}}\right).$$

Next, by formula (20) we have

$$\sigma_3 = 2 \log 2 \sigma_4 + O\left(\frac{\log^6 R}{U^{1/2}}\right), \tag{33}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_4 &= \sum_{Q' \leq R} \sum_{Q \leq Q'}^* \sum_{n > U} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{[mQ + nQ' \leq R]}{(mQ + nQ')^2} \\ &= \sum_{Q' \leq R} \sum_{Q \leq Q'}^* \sum_{U < n \leq R/(Q+Q')} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{(mQ + nQ')^2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{Q' \leq R} \sum_{Q \leq Q'}^* \sum_{\max\{U, R/(Q+Q')\} < n \leq R/Q'} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} \sum_{m \leq (R-nQ')/Q} \frac{1}{(mQ + nQ')^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing the inner sums over the variable  $m$  by the corresponding integrals we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_4 &= \sum_{Q' \leq R} \sum_{Q \leq Q'}^* \sum_{U < n \leq R/(Q+Q')} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} \frac{1}{Q} \left( \frac{1}{nQ} - \frac{1}{nQ + nQ'} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{Q' \leq R} \sum_{Q \leq Q'}^* \sum_{\max\{U, R/(Q+Q')\} < n \leq R/Q'} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} \frac{1}{Q} \left( \frac{1}{nQ} - \frac{1}{R} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By making the summation over  $n$  the outer one we arrive at the equality

$$\sigma_4 = \sum_{U < n \leq R} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^2} F^*\left(\frac{R}{n}\right) + O\left(\frac{\log R}{U}\right) + O\left(\frac{\log R}{U}\right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F^*(\xi) &= \sum_{Q' < \xi} \sum_{Q \leq Q'}^* \frac{1}{Q} \left( \frac{1}{Q'} - \frac{1}{Q+Q'} \right) [\xi \geq Q + Q'] \\ &\quad + \sum_{Q' < \xi} \sum_{Q \leq Q'}^* \frac{1}{Q} \left( \frac{1}{Q'} - \frac{1}{\xi} \right) [\xi < Q + Q']. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 11,

$$\sigma_4 = \frac{\log 2}{\zeta(2)} \sum_{U < n \leq R} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^2} \left( \log \frac{R}{n} + H \right) + O\left( \frac{\log^3 R}{U} \right).$$

Next, by using the formulae of Lemma 2 we obtain the following asymptotic formula for the sum  $\sigma_4$ :

$$\sigma_4 = \frac{\log 2}{2\zeta^2(2)} \log \frac{R}{U} \left( \log \frac{R}{U} + 2H \right) + O\left( \frac{\log^3 R}{U} \right).$$

Substituting it into equality (33) we arrive at the assertion of Theorem 3.

### § 9. Main result

**Theorem 4.** For  $R \geq 2$  we have

$$D(R) = D_1 \log R + D_0 + O(R^{-1/3} \log^5 R),$$

where

$$D_1 = \frac{8 \log^2 2}{\zeta^2(2)} \left( \gamma - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} - \frac{\log 2}{2} - 1 \right) + \frac{4}{\zeta(2)} \left( C_1 + \frac{3 \log 2}{2} \right),$$

$$D_0 = 4 \left( C'_1 - \frac{C_4}{\zeta(2)} \right) - \left( \frac{2 \log 2}{\zeta(2)} \left( \gamma - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} + \log 2 \right) - \frac{3}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2},$$

while the constants  $C_1$ ,  $C'_1$ , and  $C_4$  are defined by equalities (23), (28), and (30), respectively.

*Proof.* Combining the results of Theorems 1–3, for the sum  $\sigma(R) = \sigma_1 - \sigma_2 + \sigma_3$  we obtain the asymptotic formula

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(R) &= \frac{\log^2 2}{\zeta^2(2)} \log^2 R + \frac{\log R}{\zeta(2)} \left( \frac{2 \log^2 2}{\zeta(2)} \left( 2\gamma - 2 \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} + \frac{\log 2}{2} - 1 \right) + C_1 \right) \\ &+ C'_1 - \frac{C_4}{\zeta(2)} + O\left( \frac{U \log R}{R} \right) + O\left( \frac{\log^6 R}{U^{1/2}} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Choosing  $U = R^{2/3} \log^4 R$  and applying Lemmas 6, 7 we arrive at the assertion of Theorem 4.

*Remark 3.* Computer calculations give the following approximate value of the constant  $D_1$ :

$$D_1 = 0.51606 \dots$$

*Remark 4.* Equality (34) in the proof of Theorem 4 gives an asymptotic formula with three significant terms for the sum in (15).

*Remark 5.* The constant  $C_1$  defined by equality (23) also appears in the averaging of  $N(\alpha, R)$  with respect to the Gaussian measure

$$d\mu(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\log 2} \frac{d\alpha}{1 + \alpha}.$$

Indeed, straightforward calculations based on the representation (11) lead to the equality

$$\frac{1}{\log 2} \int_0^1 N(\alpha, R) \frac{d\alpha}{1+\alpha} = \frac{1}{\log 2} W_1(R),$$

where  $W_1(R)$  is the sum defined by formula (21). Therefore, according to the corollary of Lemma 8 we have

$$\frac{1}{\log 2} \int_0^1 N(\alpha, R) \frac{d\alpha}{1+\alpha} = \frac{2 \log 2}{\zeta(2)} \left( \log R + \gamma - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} \right) + \frac{C_1}{\log 2} + O(R^{-1/2+\varepsilon}).$$

## Bibliography

- [1] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth, and O. Patashnik, *Concrete mathematics: a foundation for computer science*, Addison-Wesley, Amsterdam 1994.
- [2] H. Heilbronn, "On the average length of a class of finite continued fractions", *Number theory and analysis*, (Papers in honor of Edmund Landau), Plenum, New York 1969, pp. 87–96.
- [3] J. W. Porter, "On a theorem of Heilbronn", *Mathematika* **22**:1 (1975), 20–28.
- [4] D. E. Knuth, "Evaluation of Porter's constant", *Comput. Math. Appl.* **2**:2 (1976), 137–139.
- [5] V. A. Bykovskii, "An estimate for the variance of the lengths of finite continued fractions", *Fundam. Prikl. Mat.* **11**:6 (2005), 15–26. (Russian)
- [6] A. V. Ustinov, "On statistical properties of finite continued fractions", *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI* **322** (2005), 186–211; English transl. in *J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.)* **137**:2 (2006), 4722–4738.
- [7] A. V. Ustinov, "On the Gauss–Kuz'min statistics for finite continued fractions", *Fundam. Prikl. Mat.* **11**:6 (2005), 195–208. (Russian)
- [8] V. Baladi and B. Vallée, "Euclidean algorithms are Gaussian", *J. Number Theory* **110**:2 (2005), 331–386.
- [9] I. V. Arnol'd, *Number Theory*, Gos. Uch.–Ped. Izd. Narkompros RSFSR, Moscow 1939. (Russian)
- [10] S. R. Finch, *Mathematical constants*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 2003.
- [11] I. M. Vinogradov, *Elements of number theory*, Nauka, Moscow 1972; English transl. of 5th ed., Dover Publ., New York 1954.
- [12] M. O. Avdeeva, "On the statistics of partial quotients of finite continued fractions", *Funktional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **38**:2 (2004), 1–11; English transl. in *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **38**:2 (2004), 79–87.
- [13] T. Estermann, "On Kloosterman's sum", *Mathematika* **8** (1961), 83–86.

**A. V. Ustinov**  
 Khabarovsk Division  
 of Institute of Applied Mathematics,  
 Far-East Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences  
 E-mail: [ustinov@iam.khv.ru](mailto:ustinov@iam.khv.ru)

Received 1/AUG/06  
 Translated by E. KHUKHRO